



Responsible sourcing of raw materials

MRpc53 | Possible 1 point

Intent

Credit Moved to Innovation Catalog

This pilot credit was closed to new registrations on 03/15/2017, however, the credit is now available in the [innovation catalog](#) on an ongoing basis and may be pursued for an innovation point without prior registration.

To encourage the use of products and materials for which life cycle information is available and that have environmentally, economically, and socially preferable life cycle impacts. To reward project teams for selecting products verified to have been extracted or sourced in a responsible manner.

Requirements

Option 1. raw material source and extraction reporting (1 point)

Use at least 20 different permanently installed products from at least five different manufacturers that have publicly released a report from their raw material suppliers which include raw material supplier extraction locations, a commitment to long-term ecologically responsible land use, a commitment to reducing environmental harms from extraction and/or manufacturing processes, and a commitment to meeting applicable standards or programs voluntarily that address responsible sourcing criteria.

- Products sourced from manufacturers with self-declared reports are valued as one half (1/2) of a product for credit achievement.
- Third-party verified corporate sustainability reports (CSR) which include environmental impacts of extraction operations and activities associated with the manufacturer's product and the product's supply chain, are valued as one whole product for credit achievement calculation. Acceptable CSR frameworks include the following:
 - **Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Sustainability Report**
 - **Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises**
 - **U.N. Global Compact:** Communication of Progress
 - **ISO 26000:** 2010 Guidance on Social Responsibility
 - **USGBC approved program:** Other USGBC approved programs meeting the CSR criteria.

General Pilot Documentation Requirements

REGISTER FOR THE PILOT CREDIT

- Participate in the [LEEDuser pilot credit forum](#)
- Complete the feedback survey:

CREDITS 1-14

CREDITS 15-27

CREDITS 28-42

CREDITS 43-56

CREDITS 57-67

CREDITS 68-82

CREDITS 83--96

Credit specific:

Submit a list of products purchased contributing toward credit and their corresponding raw material. List the cost and number of items purchased per contributing products and calculate the weighted value according to total weight of materials purchased. Provide documentation showing adherence to applicable disclosure requirements.

Additional questions:

1. The raw material sourcing disclosure reporting requirements does not specify a third party. However it is anticipated that in the near future Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) will be the only organization to support these reporting requirements. Were you able to purchase from manufacturers who source from raw material companies reporting to GRI? Were there other organizations meeting the reporting criteria? What were major challenges in finding compliant materials?
2. What manufacturers were you able to find utilizing mined and quarried materials sourcing companies utilizing the Framework for Responsible Mining? Who are the early adopters?

Changes:

- Changes as a result of 3rd Public Comment (3/1/2012):
 - Raw material disclosure requirement was rolled into responsible extraction requirements.
 - Bio-based redefined to define other materials besides wood.
 - Labor practices and governance structure added to required publically available list for Other Extracted Materials.
 - Weightings added to materials sourced domestically, regionally and locally.
 - Recycled content and salvaged materials are not to be included in calculation.
 - FSC – Pure requirement replaced with FSC Certified
- Changes as a result of 5th Public Comment (1/15/2013):
 - Modified to align with [Building product disclosure and optimization - sourcing of raw materials](#)
- Changes made 11/1/2014:
 - Deleted Option 2 because of overlap with LEED 2009 requirements

Additional questions

1. Did your project use the actual or default materials cost to determine the total materials cost?
2. How did your team determine or estimate the actual materials cost? What method was used?
3. Where there any challenges in determining the total materials cost? What were they?
4. If applicable, how would using the actual materials cost verses the default materials cost have effected credit achievement?